

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/791,997	COSTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachary M. Pape	2835	

All Participants:

 (1) Zachary M. Pape.

 (2) Quin Hoellwarth.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 December 2004
Time: 4:25pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-27

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 12/8/2004 attorney spoke with examiner in regards to an election on the claims. Group 1, claims 1-6, Group 2, claims 7-13, Group 3, claim 14 -20, Group 4, claims 21-27. The attorney spoke with client and returned a phone call on 12/8/2004 at 6:18pm to elect group 1, claims 1-6 without traverse. Claims 7-27 were removed from consideration and the application was examined on the merits of the elected claims..